SINGLE BENCH

(4)

1062

Page No. (1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH
AT BILASPUR

W. P. NO. 4629 /2006

PETITIONER : Faganu Ram Kawasi,
S/o Shri Guru,
aged about 45 years,
Occupation : Service.
Revenue Department, Patwari Halka
No. 71, Revenue Inspector Circle,
Darabha, Tahsil – Jagdalpur,
District – Bastar, (C.G.).

4260/06
P.R. No. ..... Presented by Shri Sakash Yadav
dated 4/8/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through: Secretary,
Revenue Department,
D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).

RECEIVED-COPY
Badle.
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR
4.8.06

2. The Collector, District – Bastar
at Jagdalpur, (C.G.).

OATH COMMISSIONER
APARNA MALVIYA
CG
24/07/06
Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

3. The Sub Divisional Officer
(Revenue), Jagdalpur,
District – Bastar, (C.G.).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE WRIT, DIRECTION, ORDER TO DO JUSTICE IN THE MATTER

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P(S).4629 **सन् 2006**

32

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

30.8.2006

Shri Bhupendra Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri Vinay Pandey, P.L. for the State on advance copy.

Heard.

By the instant petition the petitioner, who is working as Patwari, Patwari Halka No.71, Chandragiri, Jagdalpur, has challenged the transfer order dated 7.7.2006 (Annexure P-5), whereby he has been transferred to Patwari Halka No.14, Chhotedongre, Tehsil Narayanpur.

The main ground of challenge is that the petitioner belongs to Scheduled Caste and as per directions of the State Government an employee who belongs to Scheduled Caste / Scheduled Tribe should not be transferred repeatedly. Another ground is that the petitioner has been transferred within a short span of two years against the transfer policy framed by the State for the year 2006-07.

In the circumstances, counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.1 and in turn, respondent No.1 be directed to decide the representation of the petitioner in accordance with law, to which learned counsel for the State has no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.1 along with copy of this petition within 10 days from today raising all his grievances and in turn, respondent No.1 to decide the representation of the petitioner in accordance with law within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of representation after considering all the points raised by the petitioner.

With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.3574/06 and I.A. No.8576/06 also stand disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
L.C.Bhadoo
Judge

P.T.O.